

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORN		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/457,926	12/08/99	CHRISTENSEN		В	032367-449
- 021839 HM22/0220 BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404			一	EXAMINER	
				GARCIA	A, M
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HEVHINTLIT	AH 55010-14	104		1627	9
				DATE MAILED:	02/20/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/457,926**

Applicant ...

Christensen et al

Examiner

Maurie E. Garcia, Ph. D.

Group Art Unit 1627



🔀 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 22, 2001</u>					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expirelonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond w application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ithin the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claim					
	is/are pending in the applicat				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration				
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). AllSome* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. The received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					

Art Unit: 1627

DETAILED ACTION

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1627 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Jyothsna Venkat, Supervisory Patent Examiner, at (703) 308-2439. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 41-55, drawn to multibinding compounds and pharmaceutical compositions comprising the compounds, classified in various classes depending on the compound, for example, any of class 540-570, subclasses various.
 - II. Claim 56, drawn to a method of treatment, classified in various classes depending on the compound and the disease treated, for example, any of classes 514 or 424, subclasses various.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the diseases of the instant claim could

Art Unit: 1627

be treated with a variety of different compounds and the multibinding compounds could be used as diagnostic agents.

- 4. These inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or divergent subject matter. The different methods would require completely different searches in the patent and non-patent databases, and there is no expectation that the searches would be coextensive. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention for **Groups I & II**. An election of species is required as set forth below.
- 6. If applicant elects the invention of **Group I**, applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species.

Species of β-lactam antibiotic (L')

Species 1: Moiety of formula (a)	Claim 42
Species 2: Moiety of formula (b)	Claims 43, 53 and 54
Species 3: Moiety of formula (c)	Claim 44
Species 3: Moiety of formula (d)	Claim 45
Species 3: Moiety of formula (e)	Claim 46

Species of glycopeptide antibiotic (L")

Applicant is required to elect a single glycopeptide antibiotic from those set forth in claims 47 and 48.

NOTE: if vancomycin is selected; then a further election should be made between the various linkage sites of claims 49-51

Claims 41, 52 and 55 are generic.

The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. Therefore, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter.

7. If applicant elects the invention of **Group II**, applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species.

Species of compound

Applicant is required to elect a single compound.

The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. Therefore, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter.

- 8. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 1627

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1627

14. Applicant is also reminded that a 1 - month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory period will be set for response when a written requirement is made without an action on the merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program, see MPEP 809.02(a).

- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurie E. Garcia, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0065. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 9:00 to 6:30.
- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jyothsna Venkat, can be reached on (703) 308-2439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DR. JYOTHSNA VENKAT PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600